



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,929	01/02/2001	C. Douglas Haigh	296/1	1238	
7590 06/09/2004			EXAMINER		
Kaplan & Gilman, L.L.P.			CHEN, TSE W		
900 Route 9 No Woodbridge, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2116		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1429			
ř.		Application	n N	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/752,929)	HAIGH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tse Chen		2116				
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication a eply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ldress			
THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the pericant of the period of the p	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state received by the Office later than three months after the mattent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	at, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 31	March 2004.						
2a)⊠ Th	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla 8)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 4,6,10 and 13-19 is aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-3,5,7-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and	is/are withdraw						
Application	Papers							
10)⊠ The Ap	e specification is objected to by the Exame drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2001</u> is/a plicant may not request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the content or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ acce the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)□ . 1. . 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have beer ents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this Nationa	l Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Information	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB o(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I S) Notice of Informal Country Other:	Date	ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/752,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment A dated March 31, 2004.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, and 11-12 are presented for examination. Applicants have canceled claims 4, 6, 10, and 13-19.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 3 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - As per claim 3, "said at least one driver" should be "said driver" in order to have a corresponding antecedent.
 - As per claim 7, "load an operating system loader *from* a diskless computer *to* a host computer" should be "load an operating system loader *to* a diskless computer *from* a host computer" to comply with page 2, line 22 to page 3, line 23 of disclosure and the other part of the claim that states "obtain the operating system loader *from* said physical storage medium" [medium is operatively coupled to the host computer].

Appropriate correction is required.

Findings

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/752,929

Art Unit: 2116

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cronk in view of Aguilar.

- 6. The rejections are supported by the following fact findings:
- 7. Cronk et al., U.S. Patent 6532538, hereinafter referred to as Cronk, discloses:
 - 7.1. A computer system [data processing system 100] comprising at least one host computer [server 104] operatively coupled to a physical disk storage medium [disk 120, fig.1].
 - 7.2. The physical disk storage medium being partitioned into a plurality of sections [fig.3; partition 304, 308, 312, etc]¹.
 - 7.3. Each section being associated with and utilized by a different client computer [network computer 108, 110, 112, 302, 306, ...] [col.5, ll.12-17; utilized for accessing the associated operating system].
 - 7.4. At least one of the sections storing an operating system for booting at least one of the client computers [col.5, 11.12-17].
 - 7.5. The host computer including a drive configuration program [utility program] for allocating the plurality of sections [col.5, ll.50-55, ll.61-63].
 - 7.6. The drive configuration program restricting access to each section by the different client computers [col.6, 11.35-43].
 - 7.7. The computer system comprising at least one client computer [network computer 108, 110, or 112] in communication with the host computer [col.3, ll.22-35; network computers obtaining operating system programs from host].

¹ Disk 120 and disk 300 are related in that figure 1 is intended to show the environment in which the embodiment of figure 3 may be implemented. Thus, the partitions illustrated for disk 300 applies to disks 106, 120, and 122.

Art Unit: 2116

- 7.8. Each of a plurality of the sections of said physical disk storage medium stores an operating system for use by a respective one of the client computers [col.5, ll.12-37].
- 7.9. The client computers are diskless computers [col.3, 11.25-27; col.4, 11.48-52].
- 7.10. The method comprising the step of installing plural diskless computers within a cabinet to communicate over a common bus [network 102] [col.3, ll.53-61].
- 7.11. The method wherein the host computer and the diskless computer operate using different operating systems [col.5, ll.14-37; network computers 108, 110, and 112 may use different operating system from server 104].
- 7.12. The method wherein the host computer and the diskless computer operate using a common operating system [col.2, ll.10-12].
- 8. Aguilar et al., U.S. Patent 6687819, hereinafter Aguilar, discloses:
 - 8.1. A computer system [data processing system 300] comprising at least one host computer [server 350] operatively coupled to a physical disk storage medium [hard disk 500] partitioned into a plurality of sections [510, 520, 530] with different operating systems [fig.5].
 - 8.2. The computer system comprising at least one client computer [network computers 320, 330, or 340] in communication with the host computer [col.4, 11.33-37].
 - 8.3. The client computer including software [boot code] for causing said client computer, upon initialization, to load said operating system [col.4, ll.66-67; col.5, ll.15-18].
 - 8.4. The software causing said client computer, upon initialization, to boot [col.6, 11.53-56].
 - 8.5. The software causing said client computer, upon initialization, to direct requests for disk access through said host computer [col.4, ll.29-32; col.5, ll.18-20].

Application/Control Number: 09/752,929 Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

8.6. The software causes said client computer to load a driver [operating system kernel] that directs requests for disk access from said client computer through said host computer [col.7, ll.57-65].

- 8.7. The software comprises code [boot code] stored in nonvolatile storage [memory 460] for causing a loader [file system descriptor block 120] to load from said physical disk storage medium onto said client computer, and wherein said loader then causes said driver to load onto said client [col.6, ll.1-17; col.7, ll.57-65; boot code on client computer retrieves file system descriptor block 120 in order to be able to load the appropriate kernel].
- 8.8. The client computer is a diskless computer [col.4, 11.33-34].
- 8.9. The method comprising the step of loading drivers onto the diskless computer to cause subsequent requests for disk access to be redirected over a bus [network 310] to the host computer [col.7, ll.57-65].
- 8.10. Aguilar teaches the advantage of a more efficient booting process by employing software that can, upon initialization, load the operating system, boot, and direct requests for disk access through the host computer [col.6, ll.40-56].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. In re claim 1, Cronk discloses each and every limitation of the claim [findings 7.1-7.7], except for the operation details of the network computers. Aguilar teaches a computer system comprising at least one client computer in communication with a host computer operatively coupled to a physical disk storage medium partitioned into a plurality of sections with different operating systems [findings 8.1-8.2], wherein the client computer includes software for causing the client computer, upon initialization, to load the operating system, boot, and direct requests for

Art Unit: 2116

disk access through a host computer [findings 8.3-8.5] in order to provide a more efficient

booting process in a network computer setting [finding 8.10]. It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Cronk and Aguilar before him at the time the

invention was made, to modify the system taught by Cronk to include the software as taught by

Aguilar, in order to obtain a client computer capable of, upon initialization, loading an operating

system, booting, and directing requests for disk access through a host computer. One of ordinary

skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to

provide a more efficient booting process in a network computer setting.

10. As to claim 2, see finding 8.6.

11. As to claim 3, see finding 8.7.

12. As to claim 5, see finding 7.8.

13. As to claim 7, see discussion in re claim 1 and findings 8.7-8.8. Cronk and Aguilar teach the

system; therefore, Cronk and Aguilar teach the method of operating the system.

14. As to claim 8, see finding 8.9.

15. As to claim 9, see findings 7.9-7.10.

16. As to claim 11, see finding 7.11.

17. As to claim 12, see finding 7.12.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Page 6

Art Unit: 2116

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8580. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2116

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tse Chen June 3, 2004

LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 2 600